

10

Women's Role in Environmental Sustainability

Dr. Taruna Bhatt*

Head Chemistry Department, Vedic Kanya PG Mahavidyalaya, Rajapark, Jaipur.

*Corresponding Author: tarunabhattach.vkm@gmail.com

Abstract

Women occupy a significant and often underrecognized position in environmental sustainability because many of the activities associated with household resource use, food provision, care work, and community organization are closely connected to environmental outcomes. This manuscript examines women's contribution to environmental sustainability through everyday resource management, food production, waste reduction, climate adaptation, education, and community leadership. It argues that women should not be viewed only as vulnerable groups affected by environmental degradation, but also as agents of environmental action who possess practical knowledge, social networks, and experience that can strengthen sustainable development. Evidence from the Food and Agriculture Organization and UN Women shows that climate change and environmental shocks can affect women disproportionately, especially rural and low-income women, because of unequal access to land, finance, technology, information, and decision-making. At the same time, women contribute to biodiversity conservation, climate-adaptive agriculture, household-level resource efficiency, and community resilience. The manuscript highlights how practices such as reducing food waste, separating waste, conserving water and energy, growing food, reusing materials, and teaching sustainable habits can accumulate into meaningful environmental benefits when supported by appropriate infrastructure and public policy. It also examines barriers that limit women's environmental agency, including unpaid care responsibilities, restricted resource ownership, limited institutional participation, and inadequate recognition of women's knowledge. The paper concludes that environmental sustainability requires gender-responsive policies that expand women's education, economic resources, leadership opportunities, and participation in environmental decision-making. Empowering women is therefore not simply a matter of social equity; it is a practical strategy for building resilient households, communities, food systems, and ecosystems. It further emphasizes that effective sustainability depends on connecting household practices with public infrastructure, inclusive institutions, and accountable governance. Such an integrated approach can convert women's

experience into environmental action while ensuring that sustainability does not become an unpaid burden placed disproportionately on women.

Keywords: Women, Environmental Sustainability, Climate Change, Resource Management, Gender Equality.

Introduction

Environmental sustainability refers to meeting present needs while maintaining the ecological conditions required for future generations. It involves the responsible use of natural resources, protection of ecosystems, reduction of pollution and waste, and development of social and economic systems that can function within environmental limits. Contemporary environmental challenges, including climate change, biodiversity loss, water stress, land degradation, pollution, and increasing waste generation, are not only ecological problems. They are also social problems because environmental risks are distributed unevenly across households and communities. The ability to respond to environmental change depends on access to income, land, technology, information, institutions, and decision-making power. Gender therefore matters in understanding both vulnerability and environmental action.

Women are central to this discussion because their everyday responsibilities frequently connect them directly with natural resources and household consumption. In many settings, women have major responsibilities for obtaining and managing water, food, fuel, household energy, sanitation, and care. These roles vary across cultures, classes, regions, and households, and they should not be treated as universal characteristics of all women. However, where such responsibilities are present, women acquire detailed practical knowledge about resource availability, household needs, seasonal changes, food storage, and ways of reducing waste. UN Women notes that climate-related disruptions can increase women's unpaid care and domestic work while exposing them to greater risks when food, water, and sanitation systems become insecure (UN Women, 2024). At the same time, women can contribute valuable solutions as household decision-makers, farmers, entrepreneurs, community organizers, and environmental leaders.

The relationship between gender and sustainability is especially visible in rural areas and agrifood systems. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) emphasizes that women contribute substantially to agrifood systems and possess knowledge relevant to biodiversity management, crop selection, food security, and climate adaptation. Yet unequal access to land, finance, extension services, technology, and institutions can limit the benefits women receive from their work and can constrain their environmental agency (FAO, 2023). The challenge is therefore

twofold: environmental policies must recognize women's contributions, and social and economic systems must remove the barriers that prevent women from exercising their capacities. This manuscript examines women's role in environmental sustainability from household to community and policy levels. It focuses on daily resource management, food and farming, waste reduction, climate adaptation, education and awareness, leadership, and the structural challenges that shape women's participation. The central argument is that women are not merely passive recipients of environmental policies or victims of climate change. They are active participants in sustainability whose experience, knowledge, and leadership can strengthen environmental outcomes when supported by equitable institutions and resources.

Women and Everyday Resource Management

Environmental sustainability is often discussed in terms of large-scale technologies, national policies, and international agreements, but environmental outcomes are also shaped by repeated decisions made in homes. Household choices concerning food, water, electricity, cooking fuel, transportation, purchasing, cleaning, and waste influence the demand placed on natural resources. Because women in many societies continue to carry substantial responsibilities for household management and unpaid care, they can be important actors in translating environmental awareness into everyday practice.

Water management illustrates this connection. Actions such as repairing leaks, turning off taps when water is not required, reusing suitable household water, and choosing efficient methods of cleaning can reduce unnecessary consumption. These practices may appear small in isolation, but their cumulative effect can be significant across many households. In water-stressed communities, women may also possess detailed knowledge about seasonal water availability, local sources, storage methods, and household coping strategies. UN Women highlights the close relationship between gender, water insecurity, sanitation, and increased domestic burdens during environmental stress (UN Women, 2024).

Energy use is another important area. Switching off lights and fans when they are not needed, selecting energy-efficient appliances, reducing unnecessary cooking fuel use, and improving household energy practices can lower both costs and environmental pressure. However, environmental messaging should avoid placing the responsibility for sustainability entirely on individual women. Efficient behavior is strongly shaped by infrastructure, energy prices, technology availability, housing conditions, and access to clean energy. A household cannot conserve an energy source that is unavailable or unaffordable, and a woman should not be blamed for pollution created by structural deficiencies.

Food management provides a further example. Planning meals, storing food correctly, using leftovers safely, buying appropriate quantities, and choosing locally available foods can reduce food waste. These practices are environmental as well as economic because wasted food represents wasted land, water, energy, labor, and transportation. Women's daily experience with food preparation can therefore support sustainability when households have access to adequate storage, reliable markets, and information about safe food handling.

The broader lesson is that household sustainability works best when it is treated as shared responsibility. Women may be influential in implementing sustainable practices, but men, children, institutions, businesses, and governments also have responsibility. Gender-responsive sustainability should recognize existing household roles without reinforcing stereotypes. The goal is not to assign environmental care to women, but to ensure that women's practical knowledge and participation are valued while the work of sustainability is distributed fairly.

Women in Food Production, Agriculture, and Biodiversity Conservation

Food systems have a direct relationship with environmental sustainability because agricultural production affects soil, water, biodiversity, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions. Women participate in many parts of agrifood systems, including crop production, livestock care, processing, food preparation, marketing, seed selection, and household food management. FAO's global assessment of women in agrifood systems concludes that gender equality and women's empowerment are important for more sustainable, productive, and resilient food systems (FAO, 2023).

Women's environmental contributions in agriculture may include maintaining kitchen gardens, selecting seeds, preserving traditional varieties, composting organic materials, managing small livestock, and using locally adapted crops. These practices can support biodiversity and household food security. FAO emphasizes that rural women have knowledge and skills that are important for biodiversity conservation, natural-resource management, and agricultural production (FAO, 2024a). Such knowledge can be especially valuable where environmental conditions are changing rapidly and farmers must adapt to heat, water stress, pests, floods, or shifting growing seasons.

Kitchen gardening is a practical example of how food production can be linked to sustainability at a small scale. Growing vegetables or herbs near the home can increase access to fresh produce, reduce some transportation requirements, and strengthen household knowledge of plants and seasons. Composting suitable organic waste can return nutrients to soil and reduce the amount of material sent to disposal sites. Where gardens are designed with water-efficient practices and locally appropriate crops, they can also contribute to resource conservation.

At the farm level, sustainable agricultural practices can include soil conservation, crop diversification, integrated pest management, rainwater harvesting, agroforestry, efficient irrigation, and the use of organic inputs where appropriate. Women may adopt or adapt these practices based on local experience. Yet women farmers often have less access than men to land, credit, agricultural extension, technology, and formal institutions. FAO reports that these structural inequalities reduce women’s opportunities to increase productivity and resilience (FAO, 2023; FAO, 2024a). Thus, recognizing women’s environmental knowledge is insufficient without improving access to the resources that allow that knowledge to generate benefits.

Biodiversity conservation also depends on participation and local decision-making. Women can contribute to the maintenance of plant varieties, food traditions, household-level conservation, and community stewardship of common resources. Their participation is particularly important when environmental programs affect the availability of forests, water, pasture, or agricultural land. Policies that exclude women from land and resource governance risk overlooking information that is relevant to sustainability.

A sustainable food system therefore requires more than environmentally friendly techniques. It requires fair access to resources, secure livelihoods, participation in producer organizations, and recognition of women’s knowledge. When women have greater agency and access to productive resources, environmental and social outcomes can reinforce one another. Sustainable agriculture should consequently be designed as a gender-responsive transformation rather than as a purely technical exercise.

Women, Waste Management, and Clean Communities

Waste is both an environmental and public-health issue. Improper disposal of solid waste can pollute soil and water, increase disease risks, damage ecosystems, and contribute to greenhouse gas emissions. Household waste management begins with decisions about purchasing, consumption, reuse, segregation, storage, and disposal. Women’s roles in household management mean that they may influence several points in this process, although responsibility should be shared among all household members.

Waste reduction is generally more effective than relying only on disposal. Choosing reusable products, avoiding unnecessary packaging, repairing items when possible, reusing containers, and planning purchases can reduce waste at the source. Separating wet and dry waste can improve composting, recycling, and safe handling when appropriate systems exist. The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) notes that gendered patterns occur throughout the waste management value chain and that women are frequently involved in household purchasing, cleaning, and waste disposal (UNEP, 2024). These roles can create

opportunities for environmental action, but they can also expose women to unhealthy working conditions if waste systems are inadequate.

Community cleanliness often depends on coordination among households, local governments, schools, and sanitation workers. Women can support awareness campaigns, neighborhood clean-up activities, recycling initiatives, self-help groups, and local environmental committees. They can also help communicate practical messages about waste segregation and plastic reduction. Such activities are most effective when municipalities provide collection systems and safe treatment or recycling pathways. Asking households to segregate waste without ensuring downstream infrastructure can create frustration and reduce long-term participation. Plastic pollution demonstrates why individual habits and public systems must work together. Avoiding single-use products, carrying reusable bags and bottles, and choosing refillable options can reduce unnecessary plastic consumption. At the same time, producers, retailers, waste-management authorities, and governments have responsibilities for packaging design, collection, recycling, and producer accountability. Women should not be treated as the sole solution to a waste problem created across the entire production and consumption chain. Environmental education within families is another significant contribution. Children often learn habits by observing adults, and household practices can influence attitudes toward cleanliness, conservation, and consumption. Women, as parents, caregivers, teachers, or community members, can help build these habits. Nevertheless, a long-term sustainability culture is strongest when environmental responsibility is normalized across genders and generations.

Women also participate in waste-related livelihoods, including recycling, informal collection, sorting, repair, and small-scale reuse businesses. These activities can provide income while recovering materials, but informal workers may face health, safety, and social protection challenges. A just transition to a circular economy should recognize and improve the conditions of workers rather than simply shifting responsibilities to already marginalized groups (UNEP, 2024).

Women and Climate Change Adaptation

Climate change influences agriculture, water availability, food security, livelihoods, health, and household stability. Its effects are not experienced equally because social and economic inequalities influence people's exposure and ability to adapt. Women, particularly those in rural and low-income settings, can face greater burdens when environmental shocks disrupt household resources and care systems. UN Women reports that climate change can intensify poverty, food insecurity, displacement, and unpaid care burdens for women and girls (UN Women, 2024). FAO's *The Unjust Climate* provides extensive evidence from 24 low- and middle-income countries and more than 109,000 rural households. The study shows that climate stress can impose disproportionate economic losses on female-headed rural

households and that women have different adaptive opportunities because access to resources and services is unequal (FAO, 2024b). This evidence is important because it demonstrates that climate vulnerability is not simply a matter of exposure to weather. It is shaped by ownership, income, institutional participation, information, and the capacity to make decisions.

Women may respond to climate stress through practical household adaptation. When water becomes scarce, households may change collection, storage, and consumption routines. When food prices rise or crop production becomes uncertain, families may adjust food choices, preserve supplies, grow home gardens, or diversify income sources. When extreme weather damages infrastructure, women may take on additional care and recovery tasks. These responses demonstrate resilience, but resilience should not be romanticized. Adaptation can require significant unpaid labor, and repeated shocks can reduce well-being over time.

The distinction between adaptation capacity and adaptation burden is therefore important. A woman may successfully manage a household during a drought while simultaneously experiencing higher workloads and lower personal income. Policies should measure not only whether a household survives a shock, but also who bears the cost of survival. Climate programs should therefore include indicators related to time use, income, asset ownership, access to information, participation in decisions, and unpaid care.

Women’s participation in climate decision-making can improve the relevance of adaptation programs. Local women may identify needs related to drinking water, fuel, sanitation, food storage, public safety, or agricultural labor that are overlooked in top-down planning. FAO recommends stronger participation of women in producer organizations, advisory services, and climate-resilient agricultural initiatives (FAO, 2024a). UN Women likewise emphasizes gender-responsive approaches that address structural inequalities rather than treating women’s vulnerability as an isolated issue (UN Women, 2024).

Climate adaptation should therefore be understood as both an environmental and a social process. Supporting women’s access to land, technology, education, finance, early-warning information, and public institutions can strengthen household and community resilience. The aim should be to reduce the risks women face while expanding their ability to shape the solutions.

Women as Educators, Influencers, and Community Leaders

Environmental sustainability depends on knowledge as well as infrastructure. People are more likely to adopt sustainable practices when they understand why those practices matter and how to implement them. Women can contribute strongly as educators within families, schools, community organizations, self-help groups,

producer groups, and local institutions. Environmental learning can begin with simple household topics: water conservation, energy efficiency, waste segregation, food waste reduction, tree planting, biodiversity, and responsible consumption. A woman who explains why a tap should be turned off or why wet waste should be separated is helping translate an abstract environmental issue into a practical behavior. When children repeatedly observe such practices, sustainability can become part of routine rather than an occasional campaign.

Women's community networks can also increase the reach of environmental initiatives. Self-help groups and other collective organizations provide channels through which women can exchange information, pool resources, organize savings, participate in livelihoods, and engage with local development programs. These networks can be used for activities such as community gardens, tree planting, clean-up drives, composting, rainwater conservation, and awareness campaigns. Their effectiveness depends on local context and on whether women have real decision-making authority rather than being included only symbolically.

Leadership also matters because environmental decisions are often made in institutions where women remain underrepresented. Representation alone does not guarantee better outcomes, but meaningful participation can broaden the range of information considered in environmental planning. Women who have direct experience of agriculture, household water management, waste systems, or care responsibilities may provide practical insights that improve policy design. Education should also expand beyond environmental habits. Women and girls benefit from access to scientific knowledge, digital information, climate services, financial literacy, technical training, and leadership development. Such capabilities can transform environmental participation from a set of household duties into a pathway for entrepreneurship and public leadership. Women-led enterprises in renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, recycling, ecotourism, and green services can create economic as well as environmental value. However, women should not be expected to solve environmental problems simply because they influence families. Men, boys, institutions, and governments must share the responsibilities of environmental education and action. The most sustainable approach is one in which gender roles become more equitable while women's knowledge and leadership are expanded.

Education, Awareness, and Access to Information

Education influences environmental behavior by increasing people's ability to understand risks, evaluate choices, and use available technologies and services. For women, environmental education can be especially transformative when combined with access to resources and opportunities. Knowledge without agency may have limited practical impact. A woman may understand the value of solar energy, efficient irrigation, composting, or climate-resilient crops but still be unable to adopt them because of cost, lack of credit, insecure land rights, or limited access to extension services.

Formal education can improve the ability to interpret environmental information, communicate with institutions, and participate in community decision-making. Informal education is equally important. Training programs, farmer field schools, self-help groups, digital learning, and local-language awareness campaigns can provide practical knowledge that is directly connected to daily needs. FAO recommends strengthening rural women’s knowledge and skills in areas such as leadership, negotiation, literacy, and technical practice while improving access to institutions and services (FAO, 2024a).

Awareness programs are most useful when they are actionable. Messages such as “save water” or “reduce plastic” should be accompanied by practical alternatives, such as water-efficient methods, refill systems, local collection facilities, reusable products, or community composting. Environmental communication should also consider time constraints and household realities. Advice that requires substantial additional unpaid labor may be difficult to sustain, especially for women with heavy care responsibilities.

Digital technology can increase access to information about weather, markets, farming practices, public services, and environmental alerts, but digital inequality remains a barrier. Access to phones, internet services, digital skills, and reliable information is uneven. Climate services should therefore be designed to reach women directly and in usable forms. Local institutions can help bridge gaps through community meetings, extension systems, and trusted intermediaries. Education also has an intergenerational effect. Women who gain environmental knowledge can share it with children and other family members, creating a cycle in which knowledge becomes social practice. In this way, investing in women’s education can influence sustainability beyond the individual learner. Yet such investment must be part of a larger framework that includes infrastructure, economic opportunities, and decision-making power.

Challenges Limiting Women’s Environmental Agency

Although women make important contributions to sustainability, their capacity to act can be constrained by structural inequalities. One major challenge is unequal access to productive resources. FAO documents persistent gaps in women’s access to land, livestock, inputs, technology, credit, education, and extension services in agrifood systems (FAO, 2023, 2024a). These constraints matter environmentally because sustainable practices often require initial investments, information, secure tenure, and the ability to take risks.

Time poverty is another major issue. Women frequently perform unpaid care and domestic work in addition to paid employment or agricultural labor. During environmental shocks, this workload can increase further. When water sources become distant, food prices rise, or family members require care after disasters,

women may spend more time managing household needs. UN Women emphasizes that climate change can increase unpaid care and domestic responsibilities for women and girls (UN Women, 2024). Environmental policies that rely heavily on voluntary household labor should therefore consider who performs that labor. Decision-making is equally important. A woman may be responsible for using water or preparing food but have limited authority over land purchases, household investments, agricultural technologies, or community resource management. Responsibility without decision-making power creates an imbalance that can limit sustainability outcomes. Gender-responsive programs should therefore improve women's participation in decisions, not merely enlist them as implementers.

Social norms can also restrict mobility, employment, training, or participation in public institutions. These constraints vary widely, and they should not be generalized across all communities. Nevertheless, environmental programs that overlook local gender norms may unintentionally exclude women from training, finance, leadership, or technology adoption. Inclusive design requires consultation with women themselves and attention to the ways different social identities interact with gender.

Recognition is another challenge. Women's environmental work can be unpaid, informal, or difficult to measure. Household conservation, seed saving, care during disasters, and community organizing may create public benefits without generating visible economic rewards. When such contributions remain statistically invisible, policy-makers may underestimate the value of women's roles. Better collection and use of sex-disaggregated data can make these patterns more visible and improve policy design (FAO, 2024a).

Finally, there is a risk of portraying women only as vulnerable. Such a framework can unintentionally reinforce stereotypes and overlook women's agency. A balanced approach recognizes both vulnerability and leadership. Women can experience greater risks while also creating solutions. Sustainability policy should address the inequalities that produce vulnerability while expanding the rights, resources, and opportunities that allow women to lead.

Policy and Community Strategies for a Sustainable Future

The evidence suggests that strengthening women's role in sustainability requires action at household, community, institutional, and national levels. First, environmental programs should adopt gender-responsive planning from the beginning. Women should participate in needs assessments, design, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation rather than being added only after priorities have been established. Sex-disaggregated data can help identify who benefits from and who bears the costs of environmental interventions.

Second, governments and development organizations should expand women’s access to productive resources. Secure land rights, affordable finance, agricultural extension, clean-energy technologies, digital tools, and market access can increase women’s ability to adopt sustainable practices. FAO emphasizes that reducing gender inequalities in access to resources and institutions is central to building resilient and inclusive agrifood systems (FAO, 2023, 2024a). Third, climate adaptation should include measures that reduce women’s unpaid workload. Reliable water systems, clean cooking energy, childcare services, sanitation infrastructure, public transport, and accessible agricultural technologies can free time for education, paid work, entrepreneurship, and community participation. Such investments are not separate from environmental policy; they can directly strengthen households’ ability to adapt to environmental stress.

Fourth, women’s leadership should be supported at the community level. Local environmental committees, producer organizations, school management bodies, self-help groups, and village institutions can create opportunities for women to participate in decisions. Leadership programs should go beyond training and provide actual authority, resources, and accountability. Fifth, waste and circular-economy initiatives should recognize women’s participation while ensuring health and social protection. Women involved in recycling and informal waste work should have access to safer conditions, protective equipment, fair compensation, and legal recognition where appropriate. UNEP’s analysis of the gendered dimensions of waste management shows why sustainability policies should account for who performs waste-related work and under what conditions (UNEP, 2024).

Finally, environmental education should become continuous and practical. Schools, media, community programs, agricultural extension, and digital platforms can reinforce sustainable habits. Women and men should both be targeted so that environmental responsibility is understood as a shared social duty rather than a gendered obligation.

The most important principle is partnership. Women’s empowerment and environmental sustainability should not be treated as separate agendas. When women gain rights, resources, knowledge, and decision-making power, they are better positioned to contribute to resilient households, communities, economies, and ecosystems. At the same time, environmental programs become more equitable when they recognize the different experiences of women and men.

Conclusion

Women play a vital role in environmental sustainability through activities that connect households, food systems, communities, and local institutions. Their contribution can be seen in everyday resource management, food production, biodiversity conservation, waste reduction, climate adaptation, environmental

education, and community organization. These roles are significant because sustainability is shaped not only by large policies and technologies but also by millions of repeated decisions about how resources are used and protected.

The evidence also shows that women do not experience environmental change in the same way as men. Unequal access to land, finance, technology, information, and decision-making can increase vulnerability and reduce opportunities for adaptation. Climate shocks can also increase women's unpaid care and domestic work. These inequalities mean that environmental policy must address social structures as well as ecological risks.

At the same time, it is important to avoid portraying women only as vulnerable beneficiaries. Women are knowledge holders, farmers, entrepreneurs, educators, organizers, and leaders. Their experience can improve the design and implementation of sustainability initiatives, particularly when local knowledge is integrated with scientific and institutional knowledge. Supporting women's participation therefore has environmental as well as social value.

A sustainable future requires shared responsibility. Men, women, governments, businesses, schools, communities, and civil-society organizations all have roles to play. Women should be given equal access to education, resources, technology, finance, and leadership opportunities, while environmental responsibilities should be distributed fairly across society. Policies that combine gender equality with environmental action can strengthen resilience, reduce inequality, and support more sustainable communities.

Ultimately, women's role in environmental sustainability should be understood not simply as helping families save water or manage waste, but as part of a broader transformation toward equitable and resilient societies. Empowering women to participate fully in environmental decision-making is therefore both a matter of justice and a practical strategy for protecting people and the planet.

References

1. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2023). The status of women in agrifood systems. FAO.
<https://doi.org/10.4060/cc5343en>
2. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2024a). Gender and climate change, agroecology and biodiversity. FAO.
<https://www.fao.org/gender/learning-center/thematic-areas/gender-and-climate-change/> agroecology-and-biodiversity/
3. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2024b). The unjust climate: Measuring the impacts of climate change on rural poor, women and youth. FAO. <https://doi.org/10.4060/cd1281en>

4. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Climate change 2022: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844>
5. United Nations Environment Programme. (2024). *Global waste management outlook 2024: Beyond an age of waste*. UNEP. <https://doi.org/10.59117/20.500.11822/44939>
6. UN Women. (2024a). *Climate change and the environment*. UN Women. <https://www.unwomen.org/en/how-we-work/intergovernmental-support/climate-change-and-the-environment>
7. UN Women. (2024b). *Feminist climate justice: A framework for action*. UN Women. <https://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2024/05/feminist-climate-justice-a-framework-for-action>
8. UN Women & United Nations Statistics Division. (2024). *Progress on the Sustainable Development Goals: The gender snapshot 2024*. UN Women. <https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/2024-09/progress-on-the-sustainable-development-goals-the-gender-snapshot-2024-en.pdf>.

